

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/689,637 10/13/00 SCHALM S 07873.0002-0

EXAMINER

HM22/0703

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, SCHEINER-I

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 1300 I STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

SCHEINER, L
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED:

07/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/689,637

(s) Applic

Nicoli tal.

Examiner

Laurie Sch In r

Art Unit 1648



- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	rs on the cov r sh t with the corr spond nce addr ss
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. 	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 24,	2000
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	ction is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay№35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>11-20</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>11-16 and 18-20</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌 Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed onis	/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)⊡disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the ettacked detailed Office action for a list of the particular applicance received.	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
The Administration of a significant priority under so s.s.s. 3 (1976).	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)



Art Unit: 1648

Claims 11-20 are pending in the specification. It is suggested that applicants consider amending the claims to conform with Jepson language since based on the prior art and the instant specification's teachings the claims are drawn to improved methods.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicoll et al. (JOURNAL OF GASTROENTEROLOGY AND HEPATOLOGY, 1997, 12(12) 843-54).

Nicoll et al. teach that adefovir is an inhibitor of HBV-DNA replication via a DNA chain terminator mechanism as well as a competitive inhibitor of the viral polymerase. Moreover, Nicoll et al. teach that "9-2-Phosphonylmethoxyethyl adenine has also been shown to increase the activity of natural killer cells and stimulate immune responsiveness, most likely through endogenous IFN- α production." The reference also discusses combination antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis B wherein various nucleoside analogues are administered in tandem with an additional chemotherapeutic agent such as another nucleoside analogue or IFN- α .

Nicoll et al. fail to teach the instant protocol's 26 weeks or more administration schedule. Also, the reference fails to specifically teach IFN- α /adefovir combination therapy for the treatment of HBV infection.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have administered IFN-α in combination with the adefovir of Nicoll et al. for the treatment of HBV infection since Nicoll et al. clearly teach an increase in immune responsiveness subsequent to adefovir administration due to endogenous interferon. That is, with respect to adefovir, it would

Application/Control Number: 09/689,637

Art Unit: 1648

not appear that in tandem adefovir/interferon administration would be contraindicated since IFN levels are boosted by the nucleoside analogue and immune-enhancing effects appear to be interferon driven; which is in contrast to lamivudine/IFN- α combination treatment results wherein no improvement with respect to immune-enhancing effects over IFN- α alone was shown.

Page 3

It is further noted that although Nicoll et al. fail to enable (or teach) the instant more than 26 week treatment protocol, the specification similarly fails to enable the IFN- α /adefovir combination therapy as claimed. That is, applicants teach results for a single patient receiving lamivudine/IFN- α combination treatment only.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Scheiner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1122. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward one of the following Group 1600 fax numbers: (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014. Informal communications may be submitted directly to the Examiner through the following fax number: (703) 746-5226. Applicants are encouraged to notify the Examiner prior to the submission of such documents to facilitate their expeditious processing and entry.

Laurie Scheiner/LAS June 29, 2001

> LAURIE SCHEINER PRIMARY EXAMINER